



A meeting of the **CABINET** will be held in **CIVIC SUITE (LANCASTER/STIRLING ROOMS), PATHFINDER HOUSE, ST MARY'S STREET, HUNTINGDON, PE29 3TN** on **TUESDAY, 14 OCTOBER 2025** at **7:00 PM** and you are requested to attend for the transaction of the following business:-

AGENDA

3. COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY FUNDING (Pages 3 - 4)

To receive a report from the Head of Planning, Infrastructure & Public Protection inviting the Cabinet to consider recommendations relating to infrastructure projects seeking funding in whole or in part from an amount of the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) monies received to date.

Executive Councillor: T Sanderson

Contact Officer: R Lyons (01480) 388724

10 day of October 2025

Michelle Sacks

Chief Executive and Head of Paid Service

Disclosable Pecuniary Interests and other Registerable and Non-Registerable Interests.

Further information on [Disclosable Pecuniary Interests and other Registerable and Non-Registerable Interests is available in the Council's Constitution](#)

Filming, Photography and Recording (including Live Streaming) at Council Meetings

This meeting will be filmed for live and/or subsequent broadcast on the Council's YouTube site. The whole of the meeting will be filmed, except where there are confidential or exempt items. If you make a representation to the meeting you will be deemed to have consented to being filmed. By entering the meeting you are also consenting to being filmed and to the possible use of those images and sound recordings for webcasting and/or training purposes. If you have any queries

regarding the streaming of Council meetings, please contact Democratic Services on 01480 388169.

The District Council also permits filming, recording and the taking of photographs at its meetings that are open to the public. Arrangements for these activities should operate in accordance with [guidelines](#) agreed by the Council.

Please contact Democratic Services, Tel No: (01480) 388169 / e-mail: Democratic.Services@huntingdonshire.gov.uk if you have a general query on any Agenda Item, wish to tender your apologies for absence from the meeting, or would like information on any decision taken by the Committee/Panel.

Specific enquiries with regard to items on the Agenda should be directed towards the Contact Officer.

Members of the public are welcome to attend this meeting as observers except during consideration of confidential or exempt items of business.

Agenda and enclosures can be viewed on the [District Council's website](#).

Emergency Procedure

In the event of the fire alarm being sounded and on the instruction of the Meeting Administrator, all attendees are requested to vacate the building via the closest emergency exit.

Community Infrastructure Levy Funding

Comments of Overview & Scrutiny Panel (Performance & Growth)

- 3.1 The Overview & Scrutiny (Performance & Growth) Panel discussed the report at its meeting on 10th June 2025.
- 3.2 Councillor Martin asked if the governance structure had changed regarding the criteria for Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) applications, referencing Kimbolton and Stoney Parish Council's previous attempt which was unsuccessful but has now been approved this time around. It was explained that it is not a difference in scoring, instead, feedback is provided to declined applicants and Officers work closely with them to advise how to make their submissions stronger for their next attempt.
- 3.3 The Chair commented that it was positive that the applications were improving and that funds were being allocated as a result of this.
- 3.4 Councillor Chapman raised the issue of Huntingdonshire's CIL still having £35 million available, referring to St Neots Town Council being asked to spend £2.5 million to the Priory Centre project in St Neots which has gone over budget.
- 3.5 Local Government Reorganisation (LGR) was mentioned, wondering if this should be spent to fund infrastructure projects whilst the district is still intact. The Panel heard that there is a review regarding the strategic approach to CIL and LGR where this issue is being discussed.
- 3.6 Further information was requested about the Monkswood police station, particularly the delays experienced. It was confirmed that there is a review of budget and designs which has halted the project.
- 3.7 Councillor Martin expressed his pleasure over projects which are now being completed but asked for more detail around when the funding was granted for ease of reviewing the process. It was heard that this had been included in the report but can be added as it's own column in the table to make this easier.
- 3.8 Councillor Catmur requested clarification as to the scoring mechanism. It was explained that the scoring is indicative at present, and the decisions are made separately from the score. Attention was drawn to the report which highlights what comes into the scoring process and this is currently under review.
- 3.9 The Chair suggested the possibility of more Members getting involved in the review of the scoring process for greater understanding.

- 3.10 Parish Councils were brought up, it being noted that they were grateful for the feedback from the pre-application process but they would like a further understanding of how the rest of the process works. It was confirmed that this had evolved from the Governance process and that the team have worked closely Town and Parish forum where they went through the enquiry process.
- 3.11 Concern was raised referencing 3.3 of the report, spend allocation and CIL being needed to support infrastructure projects in the future. It was noted that there was concern on holding back waiting for Strategic projects like the A141. Insight was sought regarding what CIL will be used for and when. It was advised that all applications are reviewed thoroughly and considered carefully and must ensure funds from CIL are available for key infrastructure needed, referencing strategic allocation.
- 3.12 Dismay was raised by Councillor Chapman that Little Paxton bridge had not been included in the Strategic projects at 3.3 of the report. It was confirmed this was currently with Cambridgeshire County Council who were looking at options beyond bridge changes for the flooding.
- 3.13 Cllr Chapman queries £5 million that had been allocated for use at the Eaton Socon Grid and asked what had happened to that project.
- 3.14 It was raised there was a word missing in appendix 1 under Bury Guardroom, it should read 'To transform the former RAF Guardroom building into a multifunctional SPACE'
- 3.15 It was queried about the location of the project in Appendix 2 and asked if more detail could be added to the address in the future.
- 3.16 Following the discussion, the Panel were informed that their comments would be added to the Cabinet report in order for an informed decision to be made on the report recommendations.